Motazele Basis In Quotation Interpretation
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ABSTRACT

Motazelian believes in coordinate of logic and religion in interpretation and said Quran us firm source to talk about quotations and give validity to sonnet a source reasons to interpretation, logic as main source of believes, has important role in perceiving quotations and we could observe their performance. Motazele accept logic judgments said quotation should follow logic and it’s a way to discriminate correctness of quotations and we could order based on it.

INTRODUCTION

1- State of problem:
Motazele aims is oral tribe of sonnet which know by plethora of logic usage. To analyze this claim this research is about their view of sonnet and its rule in their logic and also to discriminate logic boundary.

Here we try to find does logic is the only source of motazele to religion? Or sonnet work with it simultaneously and has rule? To find answers analyze of point of view is important and will clear if motazele accept any quotation or criticize them and try to find correct quotation?
To find answers we need some introductions.

2- Introduction:
Quotation is second base of Islam and has high position. Based on what happen to quotation and entering the fake quotation in some eras, it’s important to analyze them and clear them from vague points. To do so quotation analyze is to find document harms and clear them based on accepted measures to make believes easy (nasiri 2012, 47) so in quotation interpretation both document and text is under focus.

In Islam period all philosophies doesn’t have some view interpretation in one hand based on religious facts has different view about sonnet and Quran position, one the other hand has differences in take priority of text and document of quotation.

Motazele as one of sonnet views which its history refers to first centuries of Islam has special view about quotation and sonnet the pioneer of motazele is vassel ibn ata (53, 131) [10] believes quotation classes and create a new form of classes and know as motazele. Motazele has special view in religious factors and it’s against (ahle haid) which believes in books and quotations has ignore logic in interpretation and said religious enough perceptions in contrast motazele believes logic is base of religion perceptions and appearances should follow logic and as logic and religion have things in common the logic judgment has priority judge abdul jabar said god speak with illogical persons and deny idea of whom didn’t accept priority sources are just books and sonnet and believe if logic has value it is in just steos. While it’s not true because god talk with logic is one of basis [24].

Motazele believes god knowledge just happens by logic and in orders and religious facts logic is one of sources and it doesn’t meaning more other factors like (book, sonnet and ejma) so to those said motazele of view destroy religion we should say [23];

First: motazele didn’t use just logic in perceptions and believes coordination of logic and religion and in special topics like: order of vozo and abolish and grave punish said just religion answer.

Second: only performance wasn’t reason but believes in basis:
Third: value of logic and its foundation wasn’t found by motazele and Quran and quotations accept logic ability as one of two reasons of humanity another point about motazele is that most of punishing of this party...
destroyed and their believers retell by disagrees but by noticing of scientists some books relives looking at motazele works we could see lots of publishing quotations by their scientists which said:

They accepts sonnet position in perception of quotations: for example zemokhshari has a book about quotations which name is (rabiye albarar and fosos al akhbat and another book (al fegh-h fi ghabr alhadis) to describe some word in quotations or books like (alheyyvan) and (bayan and tabeen) which use quotations and interpreted weak quotation. Ahmad beny yahya in (tabaghat almotazele) name some quotation scientists which were famous before, and in translating of famous motazele’s name skills in quotations as one of points like: Abu Saeed Ahmad ibn Saeed Asadi but unfortunately no one of his publications in hand motazele in quotation interpretation try on text not on their correctness so in this article we try to analyze motazele basis about text of quotations we analyze basis of quotations in below:

3- Motazele basis in analyze of quotation text:

Meaning of basis in this topic is all facts and methods which we use to analyze correctness of texts (memari 1377,62) motazele basis in quotations has two aspects:

1-3- religious basis:

Cording of logic and religion use in analyze of motazele and we observe it. Judge abdul javar accept this coordinations and conclude: actions which oracle cancel it illogically is false. Anyone evade logic will evade religion)[7] in quotation telling religious has its own situation as Abu Ali jabaee said: we couldn’t accept a quotation which it’s against Quran and logic [8].

As ejma is based on sonnet in this research the basis of motazele: in quotation has two face: view to Quran and a view to sonnet and we analyze the usage of both here:

1- 1-3- Quran ayes a firm source to interpret quotation:

Quran as firm text and a source without detour has value in motazele view. Judge abduljabar divide aye’s to firm and some and define them: if in talks the exact word deny based on logic is firm and is mislead hearer and meaning doesn’t refer to face and needs some reasons is same motazeli 1929, 19).

In his idea firm Quran eyes are sources and same ideas should approve by logic (based on idea) “same aye’s refers to nothing and by help of logic could find reasons.

In interpretation of quotation motazele used firm aye’s as source measure and accepted by Muslims to clarify correctness of quotation as jahez linked measuring of Quran in quotations by a quotation of prophet after me you see lots of quotation like before prophets, so punish what you receive from Quran by me, what is agree with Quran. (jahez albayan va altabeen 2/28) and herbing some examples in quotation based on Quran like: jahez said quotations about tongue and its problem which said: recall Davood whom was powerful and always with Quran. (jahez albayan va altabeen 2/28) and herbing some examples in quotation based on Quran like: me you see lots of quotation like before prophets, so punish what you receive from Quran by me, what is agree with Quran and doesn’t refer to face and needs some reasons is same motazeli 1929, 19).

Another example: believe in god see is one of problems which criticize by moyazele and they say its against Quran [8].

Also quotation about god like is not accepted by them and say: logic and ayes and sonnet say god hasn’t body and didn’t like no one of creators and motazele say any quotation about this field is false and said it need reasons [5] so Quran as firm source could use in interpretation and has value in motazele view

2- 1-3- firm sonnet, measure to know about correctness of quotation sonnet is another religious reason which has value in motazele view in measurement of quotations. Abu ali jahani tries to know by logic and sonnet [24].

in documents and thoughts of motazele scientists logic and sonnet work together and has important rule in religion – for example: judge abdul javar in criticizing persons whom believes, sinful and criminal wont perform said: this view reject based on both logic and religion: in logic view: if sinful know after a son won’t punish will continue actions and be strong, in religion view society said: infidel will punish fire and remain forever so how could say infidel won’t punish motazeli accepts sonnet and quotation and bring some reasons in accepting quotations abdul javar name below situations to be correct.

1- Number of quotation tellers should be enough to cut possibility of lie
2- There is nothing to able them to lie
3- Not to inform about doubt

After mentioning this wrote: all of this refers to one term: which to know about teller, just try to inform and doesn’t won’t to lie (same).

Although motazeli doesn’t use sonnet like logic but accept correct quotation for example: motazeli believes: amnesty refers to those whom contrived and criminal die when crime won’t receive prophet amnesty. By this view they believe into prophet quotations which said: prophet amnesty contain big sin owners will rejected.

Judge abduljabar in analyze of quotation wrote: first correctness of this quotation didn’t approved and if doesn’t approve should say: it’s a unique news and we need science and believes and use of unique news is not
true. Second this news is against quotation of prophet like (gossipers and drunk won’t enter heaven) [3] based on this quotation we use two quotations and won’t reject two quotation so we throughout those two quotation and reject or use Quran and prophet sonnet and said: meaning of prophet amnesty is sin owner when the contrite.

Also he analyze idea which said: infidel fire and believes: just when we could accept quotation documents which are followed by another quotation and we should use other reasons like:

- Existing of fire means exit fire action not fire itself.
- To sum about sonnet situation in motazeli about interpretation first: as quotation topic is a clear field and motazeli has more facility to talk about fake quotation and bring reasons about disagree quotation and talking about aye’s based on firm text possible or its really limited.
- Second: one reason about limit usage of sonnet in quotation. Interpretation is lack of accepted quotations and it’s a issue which effect all parties like matazeli.

Third: exaggeration which refers to motazeli based on ignoring sonnet will cancel by looking at scientist performance and in general we could say motazeli is far from quotation.

2-3- logic basis:
Logic performance in religious has two phases:

In one hand logic is a source to preview quotation source and confirm it based on dedication on the other hand logic as a tool to perceive text of quotation with confirming text and document to accepting quotation.

1-2-3- in dependent logic:

Motazeli against “ahle hadis” believes first: religious text should be perceived second: correct perceive of book and sonnet should reach by logic because logic help man to receive good and bad (shahrestani 1983, l/68) based.

On this in their view quotation text which it’s against logic will reject a=different aspect of logic suggestion and judgment in motazeli about quotations come below:

1-1-2-3-10 logic usage in quotation interpretation:

Logic as one of heaven directions if has correct basis could be a correct measure in discriminating correctness of quotations and motazeli in most of time is doubt and some time they reject because they govern logic to quotation not vice versa [11] and it’s because of fake quotations so logic has important usage in interpretations by motazeli.

Illogical interpretations by motazeli has two different phase: mediocre and peletorical.

First category: some interpretation by motazeli based on their in correct basis cross mediocre lines as if the document of quotation was correct interpret it complexly even against logic (edbli 1982) for example.

About source of motazeli believes to use logic we see different ideas like: impact of religion and un-Islamic religion ceremonies impact of Greek philosophy based on logic Yousefian – sharifi Hassan – Ahmad Hossain 2005, 92 , 100.

Ibn abi hadid in interpretation of imam talk which talked about grasp of government because his not tongue with religion “against Shia we should interpret this talk by appearance because if you accept imam Ali (p) was better to governing and they believer government to another person with lower level of science and its usual [2] maybe if abi hadid pay more attention he will accept the wrong interpretation this kind of incorrect interpretation is base of wrong thought refer to any of followers.

Second type: in some interpretation motazeli based on correct thoughts reject the quotation we see (god will be seen in other world as you see moon in night) abdul jabar motazeli said: we couldn’t accept this based on 3 reason: first because its guarantee the sameness. We see monn from fat but we couldn’t see god.

Second: the quotation of ghys which said: speaker was logic and got crazy we didn’t know when talked this was logic or crazy.

Third: we hadn’t another guarantee for this quotation so we could say its fake [24] as this meaning is not true to existing creature he talked about illogical interpretations: (even this quotation is true we should interpret abu hazil talk and say: seen is nothing expect science and it’s against aye anam 31 [8].

Moreover quotation which refers to god body has no logic linter predation and rejected in their view if speaker were followers should be criticized and said by illogical analyze: as in Islam follower criticized each other’s it should they were sinless and unfair (Amin 1964, 31/4) in some view “this point of view will cause to reject most of prophet quotation (Omari bita, 400) and when motazedi though expand by Abi hazil alaf and (got plethora in logic usage in both Quran and interpretation and we should complient:

In one hand based on lots of fake quotations in history which entered sources using of superstitious thoughts in sources is undoable on the other hand: if logic moves forward with correct facts it won’t self-interpret and won’t wrong while motazeli by this view clear lots of sonnet quotations which cause to mislead in
basis and used by enemies another point said if a group deny fair about followers and accept their illogical thoughts couldn’t mistake in eroticization.

2-1-2-3 logic priority against appearance of quotation:
As motazeli gave priority to logic in problem solving “gave power and authority to logic and said it complete religion and if there is struggle between logic and oracle just oracle should adopt with logic [17].

One of examples in which motazeli accept logic and interpret text is “pole sarat” in quotations come: “a bridge with name of “sarat” exist above of hell and it’s really thin and people should pass it those whom believed easily pass and reach heaven but criminals fall to hell (moghadasi bita 1/203) in motazeli view the bridge is just word and if it exist and it really thin how we could pass it and we should say it’s the way of god religion.

Moreover they reject jinn existing although it’s in Quran cross the exact words of texts and interpret it while this view is an example of plothoria in logic usage for them.

3-1-2-3 performing logic interpretation against document one:
Motazeli criticize text of quotations and prefer logic interpretation against documents of text, jahez as famous scientist is one those when document analyze flourished try to with same document about Abu Ali said one is correct and another not and for reason said the source of sin by adample and mosses and said adample and mosses got mistaken based on Quran “adample said: mosses you think this sin which perform god written it tome thousands years ago? Mosses said: yes. Adample said: how do you criticism for thos? [8].

Abu Ali mentioned if this action was an excuse for adample could be used by any people, so ibn Ali with analyze.

Reject the quotation so the logic usage by motazeli always hasn’t plothoria and it was essential item.

2-2-3- Ali logic:
Motazeli tools of quotation interpretation is not just in dependent logic and Ali logic has role here and it’s duty to confirm perception measures based on text and documents and one of visions of its usage is:

1-2-2-3 solve quotation struggles:
Sometimes we see two types of quotation about some topic which acceptance of both is not possible if the document of them has wrong the struggle cancelled but if both has correct document it’s duty of Ali logic to order about them like: referring mojmal to mobin, other vise should prefer one of them based on religion and reject other motazeli sometimes use this. For example: in term of license of human existing we have two types of quotation: one said prophet accetaption talked about special situation (about animals) its allowed, with this two jahez said nothing and lets quotations be reasons [14,5].

We should know in some struggles there is some disagree quotation and we need religious reasons to avoid illogical orders.

2-2-2-3- attention to situation of quotation:
Knowledge of situation will cause to better perception “the situation of quotation called reasons of quotation which shows the reasons which are reasons of bringing the quotation by imam (in sonnet it means prophet and followers) in cases which some weak points seen people will direct to order as fake one if we have information about situation of quotation the vague point will remove and order to correctness.

Quotation refers to prophet and said: “god is time” jahez go to reasons and said as infidel said: nothing destroy us expect time.

And prophet said “time is god” it mean what vanish nations and with this prophet try evade though of infidels (jahez al hayvan 340/1) with knowing this quotation won’t put a side cause of infidel some quotations order to killing birds, dick and dog jahez try to analyze those and deliver judgment to logic (same 297 – 291/1)

So one of knowing about quotation is pay attention to situation. In this cases we will see a correct order about quotation.

3-2-2-3- order lap of quotation with senses:
If quotation doesn’t agree with senses the order say sits fake a quotation of prophet: prophet (p) order to kill dog and keep cats and describe cat’s hezam doesn’t accept it and said “no benefit in cats and it bother us but dog has benefits and quotation is wrong” [15] as logic doesn’t accept it nezam will deny it and said its wrong.

We could say we use logic in lots of interpretation like: agreement with history, agreement with science but as we have limitation should say it’s enough

Conclusion:
Motazeli in analyze of quotation accept both religion and logic in religious measures the face of ayes wasn’t valuable but the aye itself and firm quotations are sources. In talking aspect we have 2 phase of logic and plothoria. But logic phase more used and it’s based on logical decisions. Some could say: some accuses which
refers to motazeli is based on some bigot idea of sonnet followers and as some analyze is based on unfair of followers, unbelives to imagine god they will in charge of using logic by plothoria sonnet parties.
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